

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,312	12/30/2003	Jessica R. DesNoyer	50623.313	1694	
7590 05/17/2005			EXAMINER		
Cameron Kerrigan Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. One Maritime Plaza, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94111			LAMB, BRENDA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1734		
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2009	DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/750,312	DESNOYER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brenda A. Lamb	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state the period for reply will be period fo	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	·				
Application Papers		· .				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ul>		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ol>	ents have been received in A	Application No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the present the present</li></ol>	-	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmont/s)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	()8) 5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-25, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 500.
- II. Claim 26, drawn to method, classified in class 427, subclass 2.28.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as cleaning the substrate.

Upon election of Group I or Group II, applicant will need to make a further election as to regard the species of mandrel. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the configuration of mandrel which are depicted in the following figures in the instant specification: Figures 4A-4C or Figures 5A-5C or Figures 6A-6D or Figures 7A-7D or Figures 8A-8C or Figures 9A-9C or Figures 10A-10C.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 9 and 16-17 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant, it is noted that claim 17 has drawings of the mandrel body and this claim is improper since claims cannot have figures (see 37 CFR 1.58).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,312

Art Unit: 1734

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Brenda A.

Lamb at telephone number (571) 272-1231. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Wednesday thru Friday with alternate Tuesdays off.

BRENDA A. LAMB
PRIMARY EXAMINED